OKLAHOMA STATE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

1/2/2015

Lead Administrator Terry Cline, Ph.D. Commissioner of Health

Secretary of Health and Human Services

Lead Financial Officer
Julie Cox-Kain
Senior Deputy Commissioner
Assistant Secretary for Health and
Human Services

	FY'15 Projected Division/Program Funding By Source					
	Appropriations	Federal	Revolving	Local	Other*	Total
Public Health Infrastructure	\$2,619,677	\$21,335,203	\$3,551,178			\$27,506,058
Prevention and Preparedness Services	\$9,083,654	\$41,603,939	\$3,852,943			\$54,540,536
Protective Health Services	\$4,631,142	\$20,556,447	\$33,084,880			\$58,272,469
Community and Family Health Services	\$34,288,513	\$151,120,381	\$3,607,092	\$31,461,695		\$220,477,681
Health Improvement Services	\$8,384,091	\$3,602,276	\$6,428,001			\$18,414,368
Information Technology	\$1,448,823	\$13,087,669	\$10,893,238			\$25,429,730
Total	\$60,455,900	\$251,305,915	\$61,417,332	\$31,461,695	\$0	\$404,640,842
*Source of "Other" and % of "Other" total for each.	•					
Athletic Commission \$176,576	\$60,632,476					

FY'14 Carryover by Funding Source						
	Appropriations	Federal	Revolving	Local	Other*	Total
FY'14 Carryover	\$269,531	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$269,531
*Source of "Other" and % of "Other" total for each.		-	-			

What Changes did the Agency Make between FY'14 and FY'15

1.) Are there any services no longer provided because of budget cuts?

The OSDH received a 3.70 % state budget reduction for SFY '15 and a \$5 million reduction in the Trauma Fund. The agency was required to not only accommodate the reduction in the budget but minimize the loss of uncompensated care funds to hospitals and EMS providers throughout the state that depend on Trauma Fund distributions to maintain this critical system of emergency healthcare. OSDH collects enough in Trauma funds to pay approximately 50% of the amount of qualified expenses claimed in each distribution period.

Legislated budget and cash reductions necessitated the following: eliminated support in SFY-15 for the cord blood bank planning efforts in the amount of \$500,000. The Oklahoma Child Abuse Program (OCAP) program was reduced by \$150,000, eliminating a contract and leaving 42 at-risk families without education and support services in order to prevent or reduce child maltreatment.

Uncompensated care payments to Federally Qualified Health Centers (FQHCs) were reduced by \$291,508 or 9.82%

Uncompensated care payments to EMS and hospitals will be reduced by an estimated 10 - 12% annually dependent upon fund deposits.

Reduction in funding of \$319,531 to establish new FQHCs in medically underserved areas in the state.

Federal budget cuts and changes in federal policy to support immunization programs means that the OSDH has had to discontinue provision of vaccine to people who do not qualify for the Vaccine for Children program. Even in areas of limited vaccine accesibility the OSDH is prohibited from providing needed vaccine to some Oklahoma residents.

2.) What services are provided at a higher cost to the user?

None

3.) What services are still provided but with a slower response rate?

OCAP - Due to the elimination of one contract, either 42 fewer families would be served. If some or all of the impacted families chose travel to other service providers within the state, slower response rates of services would be realized in those areas.

Hospital Preparedness Program (HPP) - Federal reductions in hospital preparedness funds of 36.8 (Percent?) have resulted in less support for healthcare response efforts for hospitals and EMS agencies to adequately address infectious disease events/outbreaks and natural disasters. The Hospital Preparedness Program is 90% federally funded.

4.) Did the agency provide any pay raises that were not legislatively/statutorily required?

OSDH processed approximaely 60 pay increases which were the final adjustments from the agency wide classification/compensation project which began in SFY13.

	Appropriations	Federal	Revolving	Other	Total	% Change
Public Health Infrastructure	\$2,619,677	\$21,335,203	\$3,551,178			\$27,506,058
Prevention and Preparedness Services	\$9,083,654	\$41,603,939	\$3,852,943			\$54,540,536
Protective Health Services	\$4,631,142	\$20,556,447	\$33,084,880			\$58,272,469
Community and Family Health Services	\$34,288,513	\$151,120,381	\$3,607,092	\$31,461,695		\$220,477,681
Health Improvement Services	\$8,384,091	\$3,602,276	\$6,428,001			\$18,414,368
Information Technology	\$1,448,823	\$13,087,669	\$10,893,238			\$25,429,730
Total	\$60,455,900	\$251,305,915	\$61,417,332	\$31,461,695	\$0	\$404,640,842

FY'16 Top Five Appropriation Funding Requests		
	\$ Amount	
Public Health Laboratory	\$5,835,996	
Vaccine Purchase, Distribution & Administration	\$2,687,645	
Public/Private Partnership for Improvement of Adolescent and Children's Health	\$1,000,000	
Reducing Preventable Hospitalizations and Emergency Department Visits for the Uninsured	\$9,000,000	

How would the agency handle a 3% (\$1,818,974) appropriation reduction in FY'16?

A 3% reduction in appropriation to the department would equal \$1,818,974 and would require a reduction of services. Based on the OSDH business plan and agency priorities the department would be required reduce the distribution of state funds to Federally Qualified Health Centers for uncompensated care associated with the delivery of primary health care to uninsured patients from \$3,122,230 (SFY 14 amount) to \$1,303,256 for SFY-16. This approach would minimize the impact on mandated public health programs and maintain OHIP priorities that are necessary to improve health outcomes and prevent disease, specifically tobacco, obesity and Children's Health programs.

How would the agency handle a 5% (\$3,031,624) appropriation reduction in FY'16?

A 5% reduction in appropriations to the department would equal \$3,031 million and would require the reduction or possible elimination of a program. Based on a continuous process of determining priorities and attempting to align limited resources with those, the department would take action to eliminate the distribution of state funds to Federally Qualified Health Centers for uncompensated care associated with the delivery of primary health care to uninsured patients leaving a disbursement amount for SFY-16 of \$90,606.

How would the agency handle a 10% (\$6,063,248) appropriation reduction in FY'16?

A 10% reduction in appropriations to the department would equal \$6,063 million and would require the elimination and reduction of services to the following programs: FQHC uncompensated care amount of \$3,122,230, FQHC start up funding in the amount of \$319,531 and a significant reduction to the Oklahoma Child Abuse Prevention (OCAP) Program of \$2,624,487. Continuing the process of determining agency priorities and attempting to align limited resources, the department would take action to eliminate the distribution of state funds to Federally Qualified Health Centers for uncompensated care associated with the delivery of primary health care to uninsured patients. The OSDH would reduce the Oklahoma Child Abuse Program by \$2,624,487 or approximately 98% which would impact approximately 740 fewer at-risk families receiving home-based education and support in order to prevent or reduce child maltreatment. This approach would minimize the impact on public health imperatives, legislative mandates and key priorities of the department which currently focus on Physical Activity and Nutrition, Tobacco Use Prevention, Obesity Reduction and Children's Health programs

Is the agency seeking any fee increases for FY'16?				
None for SFY-16	\$ Amount			
Increase 1	\$0			
Increase 2	\$0			
Increase 3	\$0			

What are the agency's top 2-3 capital or technology (one-time) requests, if applicable?				
Public Health Laboratory	\$49,178,000 Total Construction Cost	\$5,835,996		
Julie - Capital Outlay request but not a one time	request - Do I need to remove from this section?			

\$5,835,996

Federal Government Impact

1.) How much federal money received by the agency is tied to a mandate by the Federal Government?

The department receives approximately 58% of the overall budget from federal sources. Those monies come with certain expectations or obligatins of performance budget due to necessarily constitutue "mandates". In many instances, the federal monies are used to support state mandates where approriated monies or fees do not sustain programmatic efforts.

2.) Are any of those funds inadequate to pay for the federal mandate?

As mentioned above, a considerable portion of federal monies received by the department are utilized to support state level mandates.

3.) What would the consequences be of ending all of the federal funded programs for your agency?

Discontinuation of federal programs and funding would significantly impact public health systems and service delivery. Most federally funded programs serve state-side initiatives and impact clientele across board constituencies. Those programs include but are not limited to focus on the following public health issues; All Hazards Preparedness, Communicable Disease Prevention and Control, Maternal and Child Health Services, Nursing Home and Health Facility Inspection and Regulation as well as many others. The discontinuance of such services could dramatically impact health status in Oklahoma, leave our citizens vulnerable to outbreaks of disease and virtually eliminate the capability of a coordinated health and medical response in an emergency. Further, the elimination of these federal programs would result in the loss of jobs within and outside the department due to necessary reductions in personnel and elimination of contractual services currently provided. At present, approximately 50% of the department staff are funded on federal fuding sources.

4.) How will your agency be affected by federal budget cuts in the coming fiscal year?

OSDH experienced federal funding cuts in the Hospital Preparedness Program which 90% must be awarded directly to hospitals and EMS services. This reduction will impact the states ability to replinish emergency medical supplies and stockpiles.

5.) Has the agency requested any additional federal earmarks or increases?

Approximately, 58% of the departments funding is awarded through approximately 77 federal revenue streams. The level of funding for each program is tied directly to the federal funding level as well as the federal guidance documents. The department continues efforts to identify all available funding opportunities that align with core public health functions, the agencies business plans and the Oklahoma Health Improvement Plan.

Division and Program Descriptions

Division 1 Public Health Imperatives

Protect the health/safety of the citizenry against infectious, occupational and environmental hazards; ensure adequate health/medical emergency/response; and offer

	protection to vulnerable persons against exposure to severe harm.
Division 2	Priority Public Health - Improvement of Health Outcomes Health promotion and interventions aimed at reducing poor health outcomes and excess death in Oklahoma.
Division 3	Prevention Services and Wellness Promotion These services are characterized by public health programs that prevent adverse health conditions and consequences and/or promote health and wellness.
Division 4	Access to Competent Personal, Consumer and Healthcare Services These services may include the training and education of public health and/or private medical providers, linking individuals to healthcare services or the provision of healthcare services when otherwise unavailable.
Division 5	Science and Research Services that seek to research public health problems & interventions for effectiveness; improve the practice of public health; and enhance the body of public health research through scientific publication and presentation.
Division 6	Public Health Infrastructure The public health infrastructure exists to support specific imperative, priority and other public health programs. These include infrastructure costs associated with providing a statewide public health presence.

FY'16 Budgeted FTE						
	Supervisors	Classified	Unclassified	\$0 - \$35 K	\$35 K - \$70 K	\$70 K - \$\$\$
Public Health Infrastructure	38	101	54	57	77	21
Prevention and Preparedness Services	62	105	106	37	138	36
Protective Health Services	73	204	59	53	183	27
Community and Family Health Services	325	1,145	298	541	806	96
Health Improvement Services	33	37	55	43	40	9
Total	531	1,592	572	731	1,244	189

	FTE History				
	2015 Budgeted	2014	2013	2012	2011
Public Health Infrastructure	155	163	145	185	158
Prevention and Preparedness Services	211	211	240	217	197
Protective Health Services	263	270	202	227	218
Community and Family Health Services	1,443	1,374	115	1,460	1,399
Health Improvement Services	92	130	1,284	130	74
Total	2,164	2,148	1,986	2,219	2,046

Performa	ince Measure Rev	view			
lic Health Priority	FY'15 (Est)	FY'14 (Est)	FY'13	FY'12	FY'11
All Hazards Preparedness					
Automate Central Office and County Health Department Plans	100%	100%	100%	100%	0%
Integrate COOP Plan Testing into Annual Drill and Exercise	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%
Add ICS training requirements relative to position to all staff PMPs	100%	100%	100%	100%	10%
Improve state score on National Health Security Preparedness Index	8.3%	7.8%	7.3%	N/A	N/A
Improve Infectious Disease Control					
Incidence of tuberculosis, pertussis, hepatitis A and indigenously-					
acquired measles cass per 100,000	6.74%	6.86%	8.80%	6.80%	4.60%
Incidence of Reported Acute Hepatitis B Cases per 100,000	1.01%	1.02%	1.03%	2.1%	2.7%
Percent of HIV/AIDS Diagnosed Persons Out of Care	15%	15%	18.5%	17%	17%
Percent of immediately notificable reports in which investigation is					
initiated by ADS within 15 minutes.	95%	95%	98%	95%	92%
Improve Mandates Compliance					
Percent of State Mandated Non-Compliant Activities Meeting Inspection Frequency Mandates (IFMs)	100%	100%	86%	92.3%	69%
Percent of State Mandated Complaint Activities Meeting Inspection Frequiency Mandates (IFMs)	100%	91%	80%	23.1%	23%
Percent of Contracted Non-Complaint Activities Meeting Inspection Frequency Mandates (IFMs)	100%	87%	86%	86%	68.2%
Percent of Contracted Complaint Activities Meeting Inspection Frequency Mandates (IFMs)	100%	100%	100%	80%	60%

Percent of Pregnant Women Reciving Adequiate Prenatal Care as					
Define by Kotelchuck's APNCU Index	73%	72%	71.6%	70%	66.3%
Rate of Infant Deaths per 1,000 Live Births	6.7%	6.8%	6.8%	7.5%	7.4%
Percent of Infants Born to Pregnant Women Receiving Prenatal					
Care in the First Trimester	70.5%	69.5%	68.5%	68.4%	66.3%
Rate of Pre-Term Births	12.4%	12.6%	12.8%	13%	13.2%
Improve Disease and Injury Prevention					
Percent of children 19-35 months old immunized with 4:3:1:3;3;1;4	73.9%	70.8%	62.7%	61%	N/A
Decrease the Number of Preventable Hospitalizations for Medicare		131373	327778		
Enrolless (per 1000)	76.95	78.3	76.9	81.0	81.8
Incrase proper child restraint use amoung infants (<1 year of age)	84%	83%	72%	82.2%	64%
Number of motor vehicle injuries in infants less than one year of	93	97	97	104	116
age.	93	91	91	104	110
Improve Oklahomans' Wellness					
Improve Oktanomans Wenness		1			1
Percent of Oklahoma adults who are obese	29.2%	31.2%	32.5%	32.2%	31.1%
refeelt of Oktaholia adults who are obese	27.2 /0	31.2 /0	32.3 /0	32.2 /0	31.1 /0
Percent of Oklahoma adults who smoke	21.0%	22.0%	23.7%	23.3%	26.1%
Percent of Persons Working Indoors Exposed to Second Hand	2100 / 0	221070	201770	2010 / 0	2011/0
Smoke at Workplace	12.4%	12.5%	12.7%	12.7%	10.9%
			221,70	221770	200,70
Cardiovascular deaths per 100,000	236.9	245.5	289.8	283.0	293.9
Cardiovasculai deatiis per 100,000	230.9	245.5	209.0	263.0	293.9
Number of Certified Health Communities	120	80	72	52	43
Number of Certified Health Schools	605	523	523	314	155
Towns I Control Division I Draw Control Add I Control	T4-171141-	0-4			
Improve Infrastructure, Policy, and Resource Support of Achieve Number of PHAB Accredited Health Departments	5	4	2	0	0
*		'		V	U
Percent of comprehensive plans completed to address job	1009/	1009/	059/	509/	00/
classifications and compensation	100%	100%	95%	50%	0%
Percent of accreditation prerequisites completed for state health					
department	100%	100%	100%	100%	100.0%
Precent Completion of Access to Primary care Statewide	1000/	1000/	1000/	1000/	00/
Assessment Percent of turnover agency-wide	100% 11%	100%	100%	100% 12.9%	0% N/A
r creem of turnover agency-wide	1170	11./70	15.170	14.970	IV/A

R	evolving Funds (200 Series Funds)		
	FY'12-14 Avg. Revenues	FY'12-14 Avg. Expenditures	June '14 Balance
202 Kidney Health	\$0	\$0	\$625,108
203 Genetic Counseling Licensure	\$0	\$0	\$14,478
204 Tobacco Prevention and Cessation	\$1,425,148	\$1,660,738	\$120,111
207 Alternatives-to-Abortion Services			\$37,952
210 Public Health Special Fund			\$9,400,573
211 Nursing Facility Administrative Penalties Fund			\$261,910
212 Home Health Care			\$488,818
220 Civil Money Penalties (CMP) Fund			\$7,857,916
222 Organ Donor Education and Awareness Program			\$373,432
225 Breast Cancer Act			\$92,259
226			

Sports Eye Safety Program		\$2,025
228 Leukemia and Lymphoma		\$64,216
229 Multiple Sclerosis Society		\$8,103
Prevent Birth Defect, Premature Birth and Infant Mortality		\$1,740
235 Oklahoma Lupus Fund		\$7,017
236 Trauma Care Assistance		\$6,397,789
242 Pancreatic Cancer Research License Plate Fund		\$7,420
250 Regional Guidance Centers		\$12
265 Child Abuse Prevention		\$90,930
267 Emeregency Medical Technician Death Benefit		\$105,965
268 Emergency Response Systems Stabilization and Improvement		\$2,109,651
284 Dental Loan Repayment Program		\$329,520
285 Institute for Disaster and Emergency Medicine		\$506,802
290 Children's Hospital - Oklahoma Safe Kids Association		\$860
295 State Athletic Commission		\$267,871